Clean Production of Carbon Nanomaterials to Remove Arsenic from Drinking Water
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Abstract: Novel carbon nanomaterials (CNM) were synthesized through a chemical vapour deposition (CVD) process to adsorb arsenic (As) from water. Iron (Fe) and Nickel (Ni) catalysts were used to produce two different types of CNM, namely carbon nanotubes (CNT) and carbon nanofibres (CNF). Powdered activated carbon (PAC) was used as a substrate, which played the key role for a less hazardous and clean production of CNM. Various concentrations of As (0.5, 5.0 and 10.0 mg/L) were used to prepare synthetically polluted drinking water, which was used for batch mode adsorption experiments in shake flasks. Adsorption capacities for CNF at respective concentrations were 1.46, 13.78, 27.97 mg/g and 1.86, 18.44 and 19.68 mg/g for CNT. Results showed that the CNT was suitable for low concentration of arsenic while CNF was good for high concentration. Two isothersms were studied for arsenic removal. It was observed that the CNT fitted better for Langmuir model with the correlation coefficient of 0.967, while Freundlich worked better for the CNF exhibiting correlation coefficient of 0.999.
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1. Introduction

Presence of toxic arsenic (As) in groundwater is increasing in many parts of the world [1]. Development of an efficient and renewable adsorbent is necessary to reduce the concentration of As from drinking water to a safe level. Arsenic is a semi-metal element in the periodic table. It is odorless and tasteless. It enters drinking water supplies from natural deposits in the earth or activities such as from agricultural and industrial practices [2]. Arsenic is a poisonous element which can lead to health effects of the living beings at high concentrations. The presence of arsenic in the drinking water can be considered dangerous because of the large quantity of water consumed by the human beings in the long run. Therefore, an increasing awareness has been focused on the removal of arsenic from water due to its detrimental effects on human health.

There are different approaches to remove arsenic from aqueous solution, which includes chemical precipitation [3], ion exchange [4], coagulation [5], oxidation [4], cementation [3] and adsorption [4]. Adsorption method is reported to be the most common method used to remove arsenic from water and wastewater treatment due to its simplicity and cost effectiveness [6]. Various adsorbents have been used to remove arsenic from aqueous solution such as activated carbon [4], ferric hydroxide gel [6], aluminum substituted ferrhydrite [7], Zeolite [4], Lessonia nigrescens [8], and activated carbon [9]. Recently, potential adsorption property is found in carbon nanomaterials (CNM) too.

It was reported that CNM have high adsorption capacity and can be used as an adsorbent for removal of arsenic from aqueous solution [10]. Therefore, this study aimed at removal of arsenic from aqueous solution using CNM grown on Powdered Activated Carbon (PAC).

Carbon nanotubes (CNT) and carbon nanofibers (CNF) are the main forms of CNM. Both of them are well known as good adsorbents in removing heavy metals from wastewater [11, [12]. Previous study also demonstrated that CNT and CNF enhanced the adsorption rate. Thus, in this project, the adsorption
capacities of CNT and CNF grown on powdered activate carbon (PAC) were studied in removing arsenic ions from synthetic water. The effects of initial concentration of arsenic and contact time for adsorption process are studied too. Langmuir and Freundlich adsorption isotherms are also determined for the new composite adsorbents.

II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

A. Preparation of Substrate

The palm kernel shell granular activated carbon (obtained from Effigen Carbon Sdn. Bhd. Malaysia) was grinded by using commercial grinder and the PAC of size between 100-250 µm was collected by sieving, for the production of CNFs.

B. Batch Mode Adsorption Tests

The synthetic arsenic contaminated water was prepared by adding the arsenic into Ultrapure water to produce required initial concentration of As. HCl and NaOH were used to adjust the pH to 7. Then, 50 mL of solution were poured into 100 mL shake flask before 10 mg of adsorbent was added. The shake flasks were agitated inside incubator shaker at room temperature (±25°C) at constant agitation speed of 150 rpm. The batch adsorption tests were done at various contact times and the adsorbents were filtered from water by using filter paper. Then the filtrates were analyzed using flame Atomic Absorption Spectrometer (AAS). The outcome from the AAS was the residual concentration of arsenic after the adsorption.

C. Isotherms

Adsorption isotherm is a mathematical expression that relates the concentration of the adsorbate in the interface to its equilibrium concentration in the liquid phase [1]. Before calculating the isotherm, equilibrium sorption capacity, qe, was determined using following equation:

\[ q_e (mg/g) = \frac{(C_i - C_e)}{M_i} \times V \]  

(i)

where \( C_i \) and \( C_e \) are the initial and equilibrium concentration of metal element the solution (mg/L) respectively, \( V \) is volume of the solution (in Liter) and \( M_i \) is the weight of adsorbent (mg). The results from this computation were used in calculating the isotherm.

In this research, two types of isotherm were studied, namely Langmuir isotherm and Freundlich isotherm. For Langmuir isotherm, the equation is stated below (ii):

\[ q_e = \frac{bKqeC_e}{1 + KqeC_e} \]  

(ii)

where \( b \) is the maximum adsorption capacity corresponding to complete monolayer coverage (mg of solute adsorbed per g of adsorbent) and \( K_{eq} \) is the Langmuir constant related to energy of adsorption (1 of adsorbent per mg of adsorbate). The linear form can be used for linearization of experimental data by plotting \( C_e/q_e \) against \( C_e \). The Langmuir constants \( b \) and \( K \) can be evaluated from the slope and intercept of linear equation.

The Freundlich equation as stated in equation (iii):

\[ Q_e = KC_e^{1/n} \]  

(iii)

where \( K \) and \( n \) are Freundlich constant. A plot of \( \log C_e \) against \( \log Q_e \) yields a straight line which indicates the confirmation of the Freundlich isotherm for adsorption. The constants can be determined from the slope and the intercept.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Effects of initial concentrations

Figure 1 to Figure 3 display the percentage removal of arsenic using both CNT and CNF at different concentrations. Initial concentrations of arsenic influence the adsorption process. Percentage removal of arsenic decreased with the increase in initial concentration of arsenic. For initial concentration of 0.5 mg/L, percentage removal was 75%, followed by 5 mg/L (73%) and finally initial concentration of 10 mg/L with 40% removal. However, for CNF, removal of arsenic at those concentrations were within a narrow band varying from 56%-59%. The theory behind this is, since the amount of adsorbent added is fixed, the adsorption sites are constant to absorb the arsenic ions. When the concentration increases, more ions present and need to be removed. With the inadequate surface area, the quantity of ions to be absorb limited and left over some of the arsenic ions. That shows from the result that the remaining arsenic ions in 0.5 mg/L was less than the initial concentration of 10 mg/L.

Figure 1: Percentage removal of CNT and CNF at initial concentration 0.5 mg/L (T=298°K, adsorbent dose=200 mg/L, pH=7)

Figure 2: Percentage removal of CNT and CNF at initial concentration 5 mg/L (T=298°K, adsorbent dose=200 mg/L, pH=7)

Figure 3: Percentage removal of CNT and CNF at initial concentration 10 mg/L (T=298°K, adsorbent dose=200 mg/L, pH=7)

B. Effects of contact time

The effects of contact times were studied too. It was observed that increasing the contact time has increased the adsorption of arsenic ions until it reached equilibrium. At equilibrium time, all adsorbent sites were occupied by the arsenic ions.

C. Equilibrium conditions

The times for adsorption to reach equilibrium for two adsorbents are shown in Table 1. It was observed that both adsorbents reached equilibrium at the same time of 90 minutes.

D. Adsorption capacity

Table 1 also shows the adsorption capacity of both adsorbents at equilibrium at different initial concentrations. For low concentration, the adsorption capacity of CNT was better than that of CNF. However at 10 mg/L, CNF had higher adsorption capacity (27.97 mg/g), while the capacity of CNT was less (19.68 mg/g).

E. Adsorption Isotherm

Isotherm is a basic requirement for adsorption study to know the relationship between adsorbates and adsorbents. Such information is also required to design any adsorption system. Discussion on the isotherm studies are given below.

Langmuir isotherm

The linear Langmuir equation expressed in equation (iv):

\[ \frac{C}{q_e} = \frac{1}{bKq} + \frac{C}{b} \]  

(iv)

The linear form can be used for linearization of experimental data by plotting \( \frac{C}{q_e} \) against \( C_e \). The linearized plot of Langmuir isotherm for CNF and CNT are given in Figure 4 and Figure 5, respectively.
The slope and the intercepts were used to calculate the Langmuir adsorption constants stated in Table 2. Correlation coefficient \((R^2)\) of the CNT was higher (0.967) than that of CNF indicating better fit of Langmuir model by CNT. As for CNF, the correlation coefficient was only 0.471, which was far less than the desired value of 1, indicating that CNF is not suitable for Langmuir model to represent the adsorption process for As removal. Maximum arsenic sorption capacity for CNT and CNF are 23.26 mg/g and 333.33 mg/g, respectively.

The Langmuir adsorption model is the most common model used to quantify the amount of adsorbate adsorbed on an adsorbent as a function of partial pressure or concentration at a given temperature. It considers adsorption of an ideal gas onto an idealized surface.

Inherent within this model, the following assumptions are valid specifically for the simplest case: the adsorption of a single adsorbate onto a series of equivalent sites on the surface of the solid.

- The surface containing the adsorbing sites is perfectly flat plane with no corrugations.
- The adsorbing gas adsorbs into an immobile state.
- All sites are equivalent.
- Each site can hold at most one molecule.
- There are no interactions between adsorbate molecules on adjacent sites.

When there are two distinct adsorbates present in the system (e.g. in this study PAC and CNT or CNF), the following assumptions can be applied:

- All the sites are equivalent.
- Each site can hold at most one molecule.
- There is no interaction between adsorbate molecules on adjacent sites.

**Freundlich Isotherm**

Linear equation for freundlich stated in equation (v):

\[
\log q_e = \log K + \frac{1}{n} \log C_e
\]

Freundlich plot for CNF and CNT are shown in Figure 6 and Figure 7. The slope and intercepts from the plot were used to determine the \(n\) and \(K\) values. Unlike Langmuir isotherm, correlation coefficient for CNF was near 1 (0.999) which indicated that this adsorbent fits better for Freundlich model. The \(n\) charge determines the adsorption intensity. The favorable \(n\) value for Freundlich isotherm design must be between 1 and 10. The \(n\) value of CNF was 1.038 and that of CNT was 1.553, indicating that both of the materials fit the Freundlich model satisfactorily. Table 3 compared the adsorption capacity of other adsorbent material with those of CNT and CNF. It can be observed that the capacity of the CNF is relatively high.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorbent</th>
<th>Maximum Capacity (mg/g)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CNT</td>
<td>23.26</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNF</td>
<td>333.33</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lessonia nigrescens</em></td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>[7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activated Carbon</td>
<td>0.82-1.02</td>
<td>[13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activated alumina</td>
<td>15.56</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (III) oxide / silica</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Penicillium purpureogenum</em></td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematite</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>[17]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IV. CONCLUSION

A new composite was produced with the use of PAC as a cheap substrate. The idea of growing CNM on substrate gave the advantage of clean production of CNM. The method was less hazardous compared to the floating catalyst CVD reactor. Adsorption tests showed that the CNT was suitable for low concentration while CNF was better adsorbent when deals with high concentration of As. Equilibrium capacity for CNT were 1.855 mg/g (Ci=0.5 mg/L), 18.44 mg/g (5 mg/L) and 19.68 mg/g (10 mg/L), while for CNF were 1.46 mg/g (0.5 mg/L), 13.78 mg/g (5 mg/L) and 27.97 mg/g (10 mg/L). Increasing of contact time increased the adsorption capacity until it reaches equilibrium. The adsorption process of CNT was a better fit for Langmuir isotherm model with the correlation coefficient of 0.967, while Freundlich fit better for CNF (R² = 0.999).

TABLE 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorbent</th>
<th>Langmuir</th>
<th>Freundlich</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b (mg/g)</td>
<td>Kₑq R²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNF</td>
<td>333.33</td>
<td>0.02 0.471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNT</td>
<td>23.26</td>
<td>1.023 0.974</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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